



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/626,260	07/24/2003	Sidney M. Weiser	SYN.P.US0039	9583
26360 7590 05/09/2007 RENNER, KENNER, GREIVE, BOBAK, TAYLOR & WEBER FIRST NATIONAL TOWER FOURTH FLOOR 106 S. MAIN STREET AKRON, OH 44308			EXAMINER RUDDOCK, ULA CORINNA	
			ART UNIT 1771	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 05/09/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/626,260

Applicant(s)

WEISER ET AL.

Examiner

Ula C. Ruddock

Art Unit

1771

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 January 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-9 and 18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-9 and 18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)          | 4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                       |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                           |

### DETAILED ACTION

1. The Examiner has carefully considered Applicant's amendment and accompanying remarks filed January 30, 2007. In view of Applicant's response, the 112, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph rejections and the rejections in view of Freed et al. (US 5,007,766) have been overcome. However, after an updated search, additional prior art has been found which renders the invention as currently claimed unpatentable for reasons herein below.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. Claims 1, 3-9, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bohannon, Jr. (US 6,855,650) in view of Lancaster (US 5,849,645) and Martin et al. (US 5,972,463). Bohannon, Jr. discloses a synthetic fiber filled erosion control blanket. The netting and loose fiber filler construction permits blankets or mats of this kind to be fairly light in weight and also to permit the ingrowth of grasses and other vegetation into and through the blanket. The netting primarily serves to hold the loose fiber filler together (col 1, ln 56-61). It should be noted that the Examiner is equating the fiber filler of Bohannon, Jr. to the nonwoven mat of the present invention. The top and bottom sheets generally resemble an open-mesh material or netting and the filler material for use in the erosion control blanket is made up of a plurality of crimped polymer fibers which form a three-dimensional matrix between the top sheet and the bottom sheet. The filler material can be made of polyethylene terephthalate (col 2, ln 44-57). The netting is formed of polyethylene, polypropylene, or other suitable polyolefin (col 3, ln 56-59). The PET fibers of the

fiber filler have a denier size of about 15-500 (col 5, ln 1-2) and a length of 5.75-6.25 inches (col 6, ln 61-64). The top and bottom sheets are stitched together (col 6, ln 61-62). Bohannon, Jr. discloses the claimed invention except for the teaching that the layers are stitched with a polymer yarn and that the mat comprises multi-dimensional polymer fibers.

Martin et al. (US 5,972,463) disclose a web that is used as erosion control or civil engineering matting for retaining soil on embankments, dikes, and slopes and the like to protect them from erosion (col 7, ln 3-5). The multicomponent filaments of this invention can be circular or round in cross section or non-circular or odd in cross section, e.g., lobal, elliptical, rectangular, and triangular (col 5, ln 7-27). More specifically, the cross sections can be trilobal (col 13, ln 64-66). As seen in Figure 14 of Martin et al., the fibers have at least three substantially concave and smoothly curved channels separating at least three substantially convex and smoothly curved lobes, as now required by the present invention. It should be noted that the trilobal fibers of Martin et al. in Figure 14 appear to be structurally similar to the trilobal fibers of the present invention, shown in Figure 3.

Lancaster (US 5,849,645) discloses a reinforced composite matting used for environmental soil erosion control (col 6, ln 28-29). The composite matting includes a bottom netting, fiber matrix, top netting that are secured together by stitching strands made of polyester black thread, thereby sandwiching and trapping the fiber matrix materials there between (col 5, ln 22-32).

It would have been obvious to have used the tri-lobal or multi-lobal fibers of Martin et al. and the polyester stitching thread of Lancaster in the erosion control blanket of Bohannon, Jr.,

motivated by the desire to create an erosion control blanket that , increased erosion controlling properties, increased soil-cohesion, and increased structural integrity.

***Response to Arguments***

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-9, and 18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Conclusion***

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ula C. Ruddock whose telephone number is 571-272-1481. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

Art Unit: 1771

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel H. Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

UCR

*M. A. Ruddock*  
M. A. Ruddock  
Primary Examiner  
Tech Center 1700